

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/508,976	09/24/2004	Young-Nam Kim	IK-0096	1144	
34610	7590 11/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			KUHN, N	KUHN, MART K	
P.O. BOX 221	1200				
CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2000	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	r :					
	Application No.	pplication No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/508,976	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mart K. Kuhn	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	entember 2004					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		monto io			
Disposition of Claims	pane 20070, 1000 0.0. 11, 10					
· _						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WI TOTH CONSIDERATION.					
· <u> </u>	•					
<u> </u>	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	iected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All ⋅ b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•		3 -			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
		ě				
•						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application				
	• — —					

Application/Control Number: 10/508,976

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted:

Group I, claim(s) 1–18, drawn to a door apparatus for a drawer, including a rotating means rotatably connecting a door front to a drawer frame, and a rotation limiting means.

Group II, claim(s) 19–20, drawn to a door apparatus for a drawer, including a hinge element and a rotation limiting means.

Group III, claim(s) 21–22, drawn to a door apparatus for a drawer, including a rotating means rotatably connecting a door front to a drawer frame, and a shock-absorbing means.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I–III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no special technical feature common to all of the groups. Groups I and II have a rotation limiting means, but Group III does not; and Groups I and III have a rotating means as such while Group II does not.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention from Groups I–III enumerated above.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention of Group I above. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are different embodiments of the rotation limiting means as follows:

- A. Locking portions and elastically-deforming tilting locks, as in Fig. 4–5
- B. Electrically powered magnetic forces, as in Fig. 6–7
- C. An operating lever and contact plates, as in Fig. 8
- D. A locking lever and a tilting lock, as in Fig. 9–10
- E. A locking screw, as in Fig. 11–12
- F. A connection link, as in Fig. 13–17

If applicant chooses to pursue prosecution of Group I, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
 - A. Claims 1–4
 - B. Claims 1 and 5–7
 - C. Claims 1 and 8
 - D. Claims 1 and 9–10
 - E. Claims 1 and 11–12
 - F. Claims 1 and 13–18

The following claim(s) are generic to each listed species: 1.

Art Unit: 3637

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for

the following reasons: each species has a different special technical feature, as enumerated in Paragraph

3 above.

6. A telephone call was made to John Eisenhart on 27 October 2006 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election

of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and

(ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right

to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point

out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without

traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or

species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be

used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/508,976 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Mart K. Kuhn whose telephone number is (571) 272-8926. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

MKK **K**<

6. Nov. 2006

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamama